Conversion destroys religious harmony

By Swami Dayananda Saraswathi

HERE are Christian theologians who feel the conversion L of others is not any more the ss of the Church." This is indeed couraging statement from Dr Jcko, head of the Committee on eligious Dialogue and Cooperathe World Council of Churches, verful body that has over 350 per churches. This statement has otential to promote harmony ag religions, particularly between stianity on the one hand and its main targets: Hinduism and Budm, on the other. Dr Ucko, as I v him, is an upright, outspoken deman. Personally he has "nevera interested in converting people". and, on the ground, the situation prearies, almost without exception. with unabated zeal to convert. conciliatory words of Dr Ucko m to conflict with what he says t. While underplaying the converagenda, Dr Ucko also makes this ningly innocuous, but profoundly ological, statement: "I believe it is re important for us to bear witness Christ by our action of caring for pple without any ulterior motive I by our exemplary living." Here is clue to the potential for disharmo-

Christians, regardless of their th with a stranger? The vast majority

least, denied entry to Heaven, More extreme, but not less common, believers are convinced that he will definitely go to Hell - and forever. So, given the theological compulsion to share the helped to settle in India. Identical was faith with a stranger, a serious Christian has no option except to exert and 'save' the person, inevitably a non-Christian, from such a fate. That is to say convert him to Christianity. See the effect. Obviously the theological belief that no faith other than Christianity can guarantee salvation, or that other faiths can only lead to Hell, cannot amount to honouring non-Christian religions, Can a Christian, who believes this, view a non-Christian religion as anything but inferior or, as is often the case, dangerous? So in the ts a total contrast. Christian mis- innocuous mandate to bear witness to Christ inheres the denigration of the religion of the 'other', if not explicitly, certainly implicitly. Herein lies concealed the propensity and the potential for disharmony, for, when one's religion is denigrated a great violence is done to what one holds most dear.

believe in conversion. A lewish person is born of a lewish mother. A Zoroastriso are the followers of Shintoism, Taoism and many other ancient religious groups all over the world. They acquire nomination, are mandated by their, their religions by birth. They do not eology to 'bear witness to Christ' convert anybody to their faith. Hindus ich in simple terms, means sharing stand as an example of how this e faith with a stranger. Why share the approach protects 'other faiths', not Christian believers are firmly con- Zoroastrians, the Parsis, came here as nced that unless a person accepts refugees driven from Persia, they were received here as "Athithis" and were

the case with the lews. This is what a booklet "Indian Jews in Israel' ledited and published by Reuven Dafai, Consul, on behalf of the Consulate of Israel, 50 Pedder Road, Cumballa Hill, Bombay 26] says: "While most of the others came to Israel driven by persecution, discrimination, murder and other attempts at total genocide, the to convert, their followers practise conversion with conviction. Undoubtedly, they have a right to believe that unless one is a Christian, one will not go to Heaven. But to claim the right to go further and exert influence to turn. make them eligible to enter Heaven cannot but promote conflict. Dr Ucko

One of the greatest historians, Arnold Toynbee prophesied: 'A chapter which had a Western beginning will have to have an Indian ending if it is not to end in self-destruction of the human race. At this supremely dangerous moment in human history, the only way of salvation is the ancient Hindu way'

Older traditions, in contrast, do not lews of India came because of their people opposed to conversion, as desire to participate in the building of the Third lewish Common Wealth, ... an is born of Zoroastrian parents. A ... Throughout their long sojourn in ment" or "fraudulent means." Hindu is born of Hindu parents, And India, nowhere and at no time were they subjected to intolerance, discrimination and persecution". The Parsis and the Jews, protected thus, saved their religion and lived by it. The Hindus protected the early Christians and Muslims too. Our vision of God comdenigrate them. When the persecuted forms of worship, prayers and Gods;

religions, mandated by their theology

what he calls "aid-evangelism;" - ax euphemism for conversion by "allure-

The key issue is not this, but the very assumption underlying the impulse to convert. Today we stand at a precaria ous juncture in world history, where a wide range of factors including mono culture, nuclear warfare, and ecologist cal disasters threaten our survival as a pels us to do that. We accept various human race. As never before, we stand in need of the rich knowledge base of one more really does not matter to us. various indigenous traditions. We. In contrast, in the other category of stand in need of diversity, ecological diversity, bio-diversity, and religious

diversity. We stand in need of understanding how to live peacefully with one another, without destroying one another, and our environment. While our need is diversity, conversion endangers all diversities, not just religious. Conversion comes at the cost of extermination of native people's cultural diversity and way of living. Without preserving as they are, the existing all non-Christians into Christians to religious traditions and the people that practised them, we cannot access these knowledge-bases that contain identifies the "key issue that haunts" the lessons of harmonious co-exis-

> I would unhesitatingly call the Jewish, the Zoroastrian and the Hindu traditions as non-aggressive traditions for just this reason; they do not convert. Conversion uproots individuals, devastates families, creates discord in communities and destroys ancient cultures. This is what we have been arguing for several years. We need all cultures, and therefore all religions. With the destruction of religion comes the destruction of culture. Our religion and culture are intertwined. The religion has gone into the fabric of the culture. When I say 'Namaste' to you, it is culture. It is religion. When you are doing rangoli, it is religion; it is culture. There is a vision behind all that. Every and religion itself is rooted in spiritual wisdom. As spiritual tradition informs all aspects of life, there is no cultural form or expression unconnected to religion. Destruction of culture is

physical. It need not be the Kargil type. There are a varieties of aggression. You can either be emotionally, economically or verbally aggressive. But, the worst aggression, more than physical aggression, is cultural aggression or religious aggression. That is why we say 'Conversion is Violence'. It is the deepest and most profound violence.

To overcome this violence we need to think of conflict avoidance and conflict resolution. Conflict avoidance implies the abstention from propaganda for conversion as that is the major cause of violence. Conflict resolution demands that the conflict-prone faiths and civilisations understand the need to internalise the acceptance of others' view of God. Here is where the world. as two of the greatest historians Will Durant and Arnold Toynbee had said. has to look to the Hindu civilisation for relief from conflicts. Durant told the West that "in return for conquest, arrogance and spoliation, India will teach us tolerance and gentleness of the mature mind, the quiet content of the un-acquisitive soul, the calm of the understanding spirit and unifying. pacifying love for all living things". Toynbee prophesied that "a chapter which had a Western beginning will have to have an Indian ending if it is form of culture is connected to religion not to end in self-destruction of the human race. At this supremely dangerous moment in human history, the only way of salvation is the ancient Hindu way. Here, we have the attitude and spirit that can make it possible for destruction of religion. Destruction of the human race to grow together into a religion is destruction of culture. If this single family". The two historians have destruction is not violence, what else is exposed the source of disharmony and violence? Aggression need not be pointed to where to look for solution.